



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,834	(	02/12/2004	Reinier Kortekaas	P04,0020	5896
26574	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF H.	ARDIN, I	LLP	ENSEY, BRIAN		
PATENT D	EPARTMI	ENT			
6600 SEARS	6600 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6-6473	2646		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,834 KORTEKAAS		, REINIER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian Ensey	2646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (In Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU  1.136(a). In no event, however, made will expire SIX (6) ate, cause the application to become	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal r	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examing 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement  ner.  ccepted or b)  objected  the drawing(s) be held in ab- ection is required if the draw	I to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents. □ Certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. □ Copies of the certified copies of the ce	nts have been received. nts have been received iority documents have b eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/04</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper 8) 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) · No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO	9-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/777,834

Art Unit: 2646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakob et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,816,600 in view of DuFaux U.S. Patent No. 6,611,252.

Regarding claim 1, Jakob discloses a device (1) to remotely operate a hearing device, comprising: an input device (13) configured to manually input control data (See Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 40-46). Jakob fails to teach the input device comprising: a projection device configured to project one or more virtual input elements; and a sensor device configured to register an operation of the virtual input elements. However, DuFaux teaches a virtual data input device comprising an input device configured to manually input control data, the input device comprising: a projection device (20,40) configured to project one or more virtual input elements; and a sensor device (50,60) configured to register an operation of the virtual input elements for use in any form of communication or computing device (See Figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 32-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the virtual input device in the control of Jakob for convenient method to operate a miniaturized device (See DuFaux col. 1, lines 10-63).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches the one or more virtual input elements comprises at least one of images of buttons, rotary switches and sliding switches (See DuFaux col. 4, lines 60-65).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches the one or more virtual input elements comprise at least one of images of buttons of a program switch and a loudspeaker control (Defaux teaches any image may be generated, col. 4, lines 60-65).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux does not expressly teach the one or more virtual input elements are configured to be projected with the projection device onto a back of a hand. However, DuFaux teaches the virtual image may be projected downward on an angle onto virtually any surface (See Fig. 10 and col. 6, line 64 to col. 7, line 5) and Jakob teaches the device encompassed as a wristwatch (See Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 14 and 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to project the virtual image on the back of a users hand to limit the necessary projection length and allow for easy operation by the user.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches the input device is configured to be integrated into a ring, a wristband or a wristwatch (See Jakob Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 14 and 15).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches an activation device as a single physical control element of the device (Defaux teaches any image may be generated, col. 4, lines 60-65, and Jakob teaches the operator may be a single or

multiple element, see col. 3, lines 41 and 42; therefore, a single activation device may be used to operate the device).

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches the input device further comprises a wireless transmitter (7) configured to transmit control signals based on information obtained from the sensor device to the hearing device (15) (See Jakob col. 3, lines 32-51).

Regarding claim 10, Jakob discloses a method to remotely operate a hearing device, comprising; manually inputting information via the one or more manual control elements (13), thereby registering an operation; converting registered operation data to control signals (11); and communicating the control signals to the hearing device (7) (See Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 32-51). Jakob does not expressly disclose projecting one or more virtual elements onto a surface for use as input control elements. However, DuFaux teaches a virtual data input device projecting one or more virtual elements onto a surface for use as input control elements for use in any form of communication or computing device (See Figs. 1 and 2 and col. 3, lines 32-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the virtual input device in the control of Jakob for convenient method to operate a miniaturized device (See DuFaux col. 1, lines 10-63).

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches registering the operation of the one or more virtual input elements quasi-continuously or discretely (See DuFaux col. 6, lines 15-52).

Claims 5, 6, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2646

Jakob in view of DuFaux as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Rafii et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,512,838.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 14 and 15, the combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux teaches a remotely operated hearing device as claimed. The combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux further teaches the projected image may be any well known deflective optical element (See DuFaux col. 4, lines 43-65). The combination of Jakob in view of DuFaux fails to teach the virtual input elements are scalable in size and the projection device is configured to be freely programmable with regard to the projected information. However, Rafii teaches a small electronic device adapted to receive digital input signals using projected image on a surface which may be rendered from a common graphics file format (eg. GIF) as a diffractive pattern on the projection lens. (See col. 4, lines 54-61 and col. 11, lines 12-27). It is well known in the art that image files from software are readily scalabe in size and therefore freely programmable with regard to the projected image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the programmable and scalable image device of Rafii in the combination device of Jakob in view of DuFaux to provide any function of the device to be projected at any size onto the receiving surface.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Ensey whose telephone number is 571-272-7496. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,834

Art Unit: 2646

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Drmal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT Hand-delivered responses should be brought to: Customer Service Window, Randolph

Building, 401 Dulany Street, Arlington, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKE

October 28, 2005

SINHTRAN

PATENT EXAMINER